

REMARKS

These remarks and the accompanying amendments are responsive to the Office Action mailed September 21, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "the Office Action"). Claims 1-30 were pending at the time of the last examination. By this amendment, Claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 14 and 18 are amended, and Claims 3, 4, 9, 13, 15, 16, 17, 21 and 22 are canceled. Reconsideration and allowance for the above-identified application are now respectfully requested in light of these remarks and the accompanying amendments.

In the "Allowable Subject Matter" section of the Office Action, the Examiner allowed Claims 23-30. In addition, the Examiner indicated that Claims 4, 9, 11, 17, and 22 were objected to as being dependent on rejected base claims, but would be allowed if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of their respective base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to include the limitations of prior Claim 4. Claim 1 (as amended) is similar in scope to the prior Claim 4, only in independent form. Accordingly, as implied by the Office Action, Claim 1 should now be allowed. Claims 2, 5, and 6 depend from Claim 1, and should therefore be patentable for at least the reasons that Claim 1 is patentable.

Likewise, Applicants have amended Claim 7 to include the limitations of prior Claim 9. Accordingly, Claim 7 (as amended) is similar in scope to the prior Claim 9, only in independent form. Accordingly, as implied by the Office Action, Claim 7 should also now be allowed. Claims 8, 10, and 12 depend from Claim 7, and should therefore be patentable for at least the reasons that Claim 7 is patentable.

Similarly, the applicants have amended Claim 11 to overcome the Examiner's objections. Specifically, Claim 11 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of base

Claim 7. Accordingly, the Examiner's objection is overcome and as implied by the Office Action, Claim 11 should also now be allowed.

Additionally, Applicants have amended Claim 14 to include the limitations of prior Claim 17. Accordingly, Claim 14 (as amended) is similar in scope to the prior Claims 17, only in independent form. Accordingly, as implied by the Office Action, Claim 14 should also now be allowed.

Finally, Applicants have amended Claim 18 to include the limitations of prior Claim 22. Accordingly, Claim 18 (as amended) is similar in scope to the prior Claim 22, only in independent form. Accordingly, as implied by the Office Action, Claim 18 should also now be allowed. Claims 19 and 20 depend from Claim 18, and should therefore be patentable for at least the reasons that Claim 18 is patentable.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner remove the objections to the previously discussed claims. Applicants and applicant's attorney believe that they have addressed all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the Office Action and have put Claims 1-2, 5-8, 10-12, 14, 18-20 and 23-30 in a condition of allowance. Applicants therefore respectfully request that this application be allowed in a timely manner.

The objection to the drawings are overcome by the attached replacement drawings. In both Figures 1 and 2, the legend has been amended to include the term "Prior Art" as directed by the Examiner. The Applicants believe that this action overcomes the Examiner's objections.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at (801) 533-9800.

Dated this 21st day of December, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



Adrian J. Lee
Registration No. 42,785
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 022913

BLM0000003418V001

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figures 1 and 2. This sheet, which includes Figures 1 and 2, replaces the original sheet including Figures 1 and 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

APPENDIX



Title: EMI SHIELD FOR TRANSCEIVER-PCB INTERFACE
Inventors: Don A. Ice and Lewis B Aronson
Docket No.: 15436.247.9.1

1 / 20




Fig. 1
(PRIOR ART)




Fig. 2
(PRIOR ART)